

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,879	10/10/2001	Nobuo Oi	2185-0579P	4442
2292 75	590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			RHEE, JANE J	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TABLE CHERCH, VII 22010 0717			1772	
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(20)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/972,879	OI ET AL.
Ž	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jane J Rhee	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	, , <u> </u>	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,9,11,13 and 15</u> .		•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>see attachment</u>		
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/972,879

Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

1. The newly submitted amendment will not be entered because they raise new issue that would require further consideration and search. The new issue is the deleted word "substantially" wherein the claimed product could have had crystallinity and now does not contain any crystallinity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the present invention is not crystalline, in the newly submitted amendment the copolymer has no crystallinity, however, previously the copolymer substantially has no crystallinity which still leaves room for crystallinity, therefore, the arguments regarding the newly submitted amendment are moot due to the fact that the newly submitted claim has not been examined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 703-605-4959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,879

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jane Rhee

November 24, 2003

Page 3

NASSER AHMAD